

protect the interests of the Settlement Class. Questions of law and fact common to the members of the Settlement Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

B. Notice to the Settlement Class required by Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure has been provided in accordance with the Court's Preliminary Approval Order, and such notice by mail and website has been given in an adequate and sufficient manner, constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and satisfies Rule 23(e) and due process.

C. Defendants have timely provided notification of this settlement to the appropriate federal and state officials pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"), 28 U.S.C.

§ 1715. The Court finds that Defendants' notification complies fully with the applicable requirements of CAFA.

D. The Settlement Agreement was arrived at as a result of arms-length negotiations conducted in good faith by counsel for the parties, and is supported by the Class Representative.

E. The settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate to members of the Class in light of the complexity, expense, and duration of litigation and the risks involved in establishing liability and damages, and in maintaining the class action through trial and appeal.

F. The relief provided under the settlement constitutes fair value given in exchange for the releases of claims against the Released Parties.

G. The parties and each member of the Class have irrevocably submitted to the exclusive jurisdiction of this Court for any suit, action, proceeding, or dispute arising out of or relating to the Settlement Agreement.

H. It is in the best interests of the parties and the members of the Class and consistent with principles of judicial economy that any dispute between any member of the Class (including any dispute as to whether any person is a member of the Class) and any Released Party which in any way relates to the applicability or scope of the Settlement Agreement or this Final Judgment and Order of Dismissal should be presented exclusively to this Court for resolution by this Court.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

1. This action is finally certified as a class action for settlement purposes only against Defendants Stoneridge, Inc., and Stoneridge Control Devices, Inc. f/k/a Joseph Pollak Corp on behalf of a Settlement Class defined as follows:

All United States residents who currently own vehicles incorporating “Covered CSIDs”, defined as all clutch safety interlock devices containing model 16813 compression springs that (1) were built between February 24, 2005 and January 1, 2007; and (2) are not subject to any recall campaign (included but not limited to Chrysler recall campaigns 14V-795 and 15V-222).

Excluded from the foregoing Class are the Released Parties and all Settlement Class members who opted out of the settlement. (For the avoidance of doubt, also excluded from the Class are all claims for personal injury and wrongful death arising out of or relating to the CSIDs, in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.)

2. The Settlement Agreement submitted by the parties is finally approved pursuant to Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as fair, reasonable, and

adequate and in the best interests of the Class Members. The parties are directed to consummate the Settlement Agreement in accordance with its terms.

3. This action is hereby DISMISSED on the merits, WITH PREJUDICE and without costs. Without affecting the finality of this judgment, the Court hereby reserves and retains jurisdiction over this action, including the administration and consummation of the settlement. In addition, without affecting the finality of this judgment, the Court retains exclusive jurisdiction over Defendants, Plaintiffs, and each Settlement Class Member for any suit, action, proceeding, or dispute relating to this Order or the Settlement Agreement. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, any dispute concerning the Settlement Agreement, including, but not limited to, any suit, action, arbitration, or other proceeding by any Settlement Class Member in which the provisions of the Settlement Agreement are asserted as a defense in whole or in part to any claim or cause of action or otherwise raised as an objection, is a suit, action or proceeding relating to this Order. Solely for purposes of such suit, action, or proceeding, to the fullest extent possible under applicable law, the parties hereto and all members of the Settlement Class are hereby deemed to have irrevocably waived and agreed not to assert, by way of motion, as a defense or otherwise, any claim or objection that they are not subject to the jurisdiction of this Court, or that this Court is, in any way, an improper venue or an inconvenient forum.

4. All Settlement Class Members shall be bound by all of the terms, conditions, and obligations of the Settlement Agreement, and all determinations and judgments in the action concerning the Settlement.

5. Neither the Settlement Agreement, nor any of its terms or provisions, nor any of the negotiations or proceedings connected with it, shall be construed as an

admission or concession by Stoneridge, its counsel, or any of the other Released Parties of the truth of any of the allegations in this Lawsuit, or of any liability, fault, or wrongdoing of any kind and shall not be construed as, or deemed to be evidence of or an admission or concession that the Plaintiffs or any Settlement Class Members have suffered any damages, harm, or loss. Further, neither the Settlement Agreement, nor any of its terms or provisions, nor any of the negotiations or proceedings connected with it, nor this Order shall be construed as an admission or concession by the Plaintiffs of the validity of any factual or legal defense or of the infirmity of any claims or facts alleged in this Action

6. Final Judgment is hereby entered in this action, consistent with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

7. Pursuant to the Court's Preliminary Approval Order, Class Counsel shall file a motion seeking award of attorneys' fees and costs and incentive awards. The Court will rule on said motion in a separate order.

SO ORDERED this 30th day of January, 2018.


STEPHEN P. FRIOT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE